arXiv:1704.03422v1 [math.AP] 11 Apr 2017

Ginzburg–Landau equations on Riemann surfaces of higher genus

D. Chouchkov*, N. M. Ercolani†, S. Rayan‡, I. M. Sigal§

April 9, 2017

Abstract

We study the Ginzburg–Landau equations on Riemann surfaces of arbitrary genus. In particular,

we explicitly construct the (local moduli space of gauge-equivalent) solutions in a neighbourhood of a constant curvature branch of solutions;

in linearizing the problem, we find a relation with de Rham cohomology groups of the surface;

we classify holomorphic structures on line bundles arising as solutions to the equations in terms of the degree, the Abel-Jacobi map, and symmetric products of the surface;

we construct explicitly the automorphy factors and the equivariant connection on the trivial bundle over the Poincaré upper complex half plane.

1 The Ginzburg–Landau Equations

Let X be a Riemann surface X, with a complex structure given by a hermitian metric h, and let E be a smooth, unitary line bundle over X. The Ginzburg-Landau equations on X involve a section, ψ, and a connection one-form a on E and are written as

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_a \psi &= \kappa^2(|\psi|^2 - 1)\psi, \\ d^* da &= \mathrm{Im}(\overline{\psi}\nabla_a \psi), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where ∇_a and $-\Delta_a = \nabla_a^* \nabla_a$ are the covariant derivative and Laplacian associated with a unitary connection form a (locally, $\nabla_a = d + ia$) and κ^2 is

*Dept. of Math., U. of Toronto, Toronto, ON, M5S 2E4, Canada
†Dept. of Math., U. of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, 85721-0089, USA
‡Dept. of Math. & Stats., U. of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK, S7N 5E6, Canada
§Dept. of Math., U. of Toronto, Toronto, ON, M5S 2E4, Canada

the Ginzburg-Landau parameter. The adjoints here are taken with respect to the inner products on sections and bundle-valued one-forms, respectively, induced by fixing a smooth hermitian inner product, k (which determines the $U(1)$-structure of E) on the fibers of E and a hermitian metric, h on X. (For some necessary background see [12, 15, 25].)

Equations (1.1) lie at the foundation of the macroscopic theory of superconductivity. Soon after their birth, they migrated to other areas of condensed matter physics and then to particle physics. They were the first example of gauge theory and, together with their generalization — the Yang-Mills-Higgs equations — form an integral part of the standard model of particle physics.

On $\mathbb{R}^2$ (the cylindrical geometry, in the physics literature), equations (1.1) have striking solutions whose physical characteristics — namely the density of the superconducting electrons, $|\psi|^2$, the magnetic field, da, and the supercurrent, $\mathrm{Im}(\overline{\psi}\nabla_a\psi)$ — are periodic with respect to a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^2$. These were discovered by A.A. Abrikosov and were recognized by a Nobel Prize. They are called the Abrikosov, or vortex, lattices.

The Abrikosov solutions can be reformulated as solutions of (1.1) on a flat torus. Hence, mathematically, it is natural to go one step further and consider (1.1) on an arbitrary smooth Riemann surface.

Solutions of (1.1) on a higher genus Riemann surface likely have far too high an energy to be observed under natural circumstances. However, one might be able to create the respective configurations in a laboratory by imposing the correct symmetry.

Moreover, one can imagine superconducting thin membranes with surfaces of higher genera. In the latter case, (1.1) would have to be modified, but the present mathematical theory would presumably still apply.

Equations (1.1) are the Euler-Lagrange equation of the Ginzburg-Landau energy functional (originally, the difference between the superconducting and normal free energies)

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi, a, h) = \|\nabla_a\psi\|^2 + \|da\|^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\|(|\psi|^2 - 1)\|^2. \tag{1.2}$$

Recall that a hermitian metric, h, determines the Riemann metric, volume form (as the real and imaginary parts of h) and the complex structure (relating the first two). We allow h to vary keeping the complex structure fixed within its conformal class. By a solution of (1.1) we understand the *triple* (ψ, a, h), (or the equivalence classes - or moduli - of such triples related by the gauge transformation, see below).

To fix ideas, in what follows, we allow variations of Hermitian metrics only along a family, $h = rh', r > 1$, where h' is an arbitrary fixed metric. To formulate our result, we need some notation and definitions:

The curvature of a connection a is defined as $F_a = da$ and a connection a on E is said to be of *constant curvature* iff F_a is of the form $F_a = b\omega$,

where b is a constant and ω is the symplectic *volume form* on X. By the Chern-Weil relation (see (2.1) below), $b = \frac{2\pi n}{|X|}$;

$\deg(E)$ denotes the degree (a topological invariant with values in $\mathbb{Z}$) of E; we assume E has the degree n and write $E \equiv E_n$;

$\mathcal{A}_{cc,n}$ denotes the space of constant curvature unitary (with respect to k) connections on E_n;

$X^{(n)}$ is the n-fold symmetric product of X with itself (this is a smooth, compact complex manifold, in fact a projective algebraic variety, of complex dimension n);

$\mathscr{H}^r_n$ and $\vec{\mathscr{H}}^r$ denote the order r Sobolev spaces of sections of E_n and co-closed (i.e. $d^*\alpha = 0$) one-forms, $\alpha \in \Omega^1$ (for $r < 1$, the derivative $d^*\alpha$ is defined in the weak sense);

$\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ stand for error terms in the sense of the norms in $\mathscr{H}^2$ and $\vec{\mathscr{H}}^2$, respectively.

One of the main results of this paper can be summarized as follows

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 \leq n \leq g$. There is a map $I : X^{(n)} \to \mathcal{A}_{cc,n}$ and an open dense complex submanifold (in fact a quasi-projective subvariety) $S^{(n)}$ of $X^{(n)}$ such that the Ginzburg–Landau equations* (1.1) *on E_n have the branch of solutions*

$$(\psi_s, a_s, r_s) = \big(s\phi + \mathcal{O}_1(s^3), a^c + \mathcal{O}_2(s^2), 1 + \mathcal{O}(s^2)\big). \tag{1.3}$$

where $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is sufficiently small, $a^c \in I(S^{(n)})$, and $\phi = g\hat{\phi}$, with g a gauge transformation (constructed explicitly) and $\hat{\phi}$ a holomorphic section of the holomorphic bundle corresponding to a^c (see Theorems 1.4 and D.1 below), normalized as $\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{H}^2} = 1$.

Up to the gauge equivalence, these are the only solutions in $\mathscr{H}^2 \times \vec{\mathscr{H}}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ in a small neighbourhood of the solution branch $\{(0, a^c, r) : r \geq 1\}$.

The existence of solutions of (1.1) for $\kappa = 1/\sqrt{2}$ (the (anti)self-dual case) was shown in [6, 7, 8, 9, 17] (see also [28], the results of [6, 7, 8, 9] apply actually to the Yang-Mills-Higgs equations). For a textbook exposition of related results and references see [24, 29]. The above theorem is the first result for general Riemann surfaces and the general κ. It generalizes the existence results for the tori (see [31] for a review and references in the torus case).[1]

We discuss the result above and its proof. We begin with a key fact that (1.1) is a gauge theory. In particular, it has the gauge symmetry, which can be formulated as following proposition which can be checked directly.

[1] As were in the process of posting the present paper, we became aware of the interesting paper [30], which contains the existence proof by the variational technique. There, the author treats a more general class of complex surfaces, but as per usual with variational methods, less precise information is obtained regarding the solutions.

Proposition 1.2. *If $g : E \to E'$ is a $U(1)$-equivariant isomorphism of smooth line bundles over a Riemann surface X and (ψ, a) solve* (1.1) *on E, then*

$$T_g^{\text{gauge}}(\psi, a) = (g\psi, a + ig^{-1}dg) \tag{1.4}$$

is a section and connection pair on E' and it solves (1.1) *on E'.*[2]

For $E' = E$ maps (1.4) are called the gauge transformations. We use the latter term for general $g : E \to E'$. For $E' = E$, the above means that a single solution is simply a representative of an infinite dimensional equivalence class of solutions. Therefore when we describe a solution with some property, we mean that this property holds up to a gauge equivalence (with $E' = E$).

Topologically equivalent classes of smooth line bundles over a Riemann surface are determined by their degree with values in $\mathbb{Z}$. However, a bundle, $E \equiv E_n$, of a given degree n may be given a variety of distinct holomorphic structures.

A *unique equivalence class of holomorphic structures* on E_n is determined by ∂''_{a^c}, where ∂''_a denotes the $(0,1)-$part of the unitary connection ∇_a (see Appendix D, Theorem D.1) and $a^c \in \mathcal{A}_{cc,n}$.

We derive Theorem 1.1 from some general facts from the theory of Riemann surfaces presented below and the following key result:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $a^c \in \mathcal{A}_{cc,n}$ and assume the space* $\operatorname{Null} \partial''_{a^c}$ *is one-dimensional. Then the statement of Theorem 1.1 is true.*

We now consider the dimension of the space $\operatorname{Null} \partial''_{a^c}$. On the first step, we use the following result, which is a constructive version of known results (see Appendix D, Theorem D.1 (i)):

Theorem 1.4. *There is a smooth, invertible map from $U(1)$ bundles with unitary connections onto holomorphic bundles with complex connections and compatible hermitian metrics, which maps the former connections into the latter ones. This map is constructed explicitly.*

We give a hands on proof of this theorem in Appendix B.

By Theorem 1.4, the space $\operatorname{Null} \partial''_{a^c}$ is isomorphic to the space of holomorphic sections of the holomorphic bundle corresponding to a^c. We say a holomorphic structure, or its corresponding connection, a^c, is *admissible* if the kernel of ∂''_{a^c} is exactly one-dimensional. We will give a complete classification of the space of admissible connections including

[2] If general, if $g : E \to E'$ is an isomorphism of smooth line bundles over a Riemann surface X, then for any section and connection pair, (ψ, a) on E, $(g\psi, a + ig^{-1}dg)$ is a section and connection pair on E'.

1. determinaion of its degree range n (Proposition 1.5);
2. its description as an analytic moduli space (Corollary 1.6);
3. explicit formulas for the elements of $\operatorname{Null}\partial''_{a^c}$ in terms of normalized differentials of the third kind (Appendix E).

This detailed classification is based entirely on classical results, though their application here to the Ginzburg-Landau equations is novel. This will be explained below. We now state our principal classification.

Proposition 1.5. *The following statements give a complete classification of admissible connections and the possible degrees of their associated line bundles.*

(i) For either $n < 0$ or $n > g$, there are no admissible holomorphic structures a_c.

(ii) For $1 \leq n \leq g$, a holomorphic structure $a_c \in \mathcal{A}_{cc,n}$ is admissible if and only if it corresponds to a regular value of the Abel-Jacobi map Φ (see (1.5) *below) restricted to $X^{(n)}$.*

(iii) For $n = 0$, only the trivial bundle supports admissible connections and ϕ in this case may be gauge transformed to a unitary constant, c with $|c| = 1$.

In the first statement, $n < 0$ is ruled out because if a line bundle had a holomorphic section, its divisor of zeroes would be of non-negative degree which is the same as the degree, n, of the bundle. Hence, one must have $n \geq 0$.

For $n > g$, Riemann's inequality states that $h^0(X, E) := \dim H^0(X, E)$ satisfies $h^0(X, E) \geq n - g + 1 > 1$ which is not admissible. Hence. one must have $n \leq g$.

The second statement follows from Abel's theorem as discussed in Appendix D. For the last statement, meromorphic sections of a degree zero bundle have the same number of zeroes as poles. Hence a global holomorphic section has no zeroes and so the bundle must be trivializable.

The main ingredient in the proof of Proposition 1.5 is the *Abel-Jacobi map*, Φ, extended naturally to the n-fold symmetric product, $X^{(n)}$, of X with itself and defined there as

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi : X^{(n)} \to Jac(X) := \mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda, \\ &P_1 + \cdot + P_n \to \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{P_0}^{P_k} \vec{\zeta}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

where $\vec{\zeta} = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_g)$ is a basis for the space of holomorphic differentials on X, normalized with respect to a canonical homology basis, $a_1, \ldots, a_g; b_1, \ldots, b_g$, meaning $\oint_{a_j} \zeta_k = \delta_{jk}$, and Λ is the rank $2g$ lattice generated by the periods, δ_{jk} and $\tau_{ij} = \int_{b_j} \zeta_i$, of $\vec{\zeta}$. The map depends on the choice of basepoint P_0,

but for various P_0's, differs only by a translation in $Jac(X)$ under a change of this basepoint.

The set of admissible connections a^c, of degree n, has the structure of a complex manifold which can be described in terms of the Abel-Jacobi image, $W_n := \Phi(X^{(n)}) \subset Jac(X)$, which is an analytic (in fact algebraic) subvariety of $Jac(X)$ (see Appendix D). Denote by $W_{n,\text{smooth}}$ the set of the regular values of Φ in W_n. The singular points of W_n correspond precisely to the holomorphic structures on E for which $h^0(X, E) > 1$. Again this follows from Abel's theorem [14], III.11.12. So we have

Corollary 1.6. *The space of holomorphic line bundles with the underlying smooth bundle E and having one-dimensional spaces of holomorphic sections is parametrized by the complex sub-manifold $W_{n,smooth} \subset Jac(X)$. Since it is the set of regular values of Φ, by Sard's theorem, this latter space is an open dense submanifold of W_n.*

Theorems 1.4 and 1.3 and Corollary 1.6 imply Theorem 1.1 with $S^{(n)} := \Phi^{-1}(W_{n,\text{smooth}})$ and $(I \circ \Phi^{-1})(W_{n,\text{smooth}}) \subset \mathcal{A}_{cc,n}$ equal to the manifold of admissible connections. (Theorem 1.4 connects the original $U(1)-$bundle considered in Theorem 1.3 to a holomorphic one from the space described in Corollary 1.6.)

Remark 1.7. A more precise description of the map I is given in Appendix D. As well, a more explicit description of $W_{n,\text{smooth}}$ is given in Appendix D, Theorem D.4. In particular, for $n = g$ (respectively $n = g - 1$), $W_{n,\text{smooth}}$ is the complement, in W_n, of the locus defined by a single equation (respectively. the ideal of $n - g + 1$ equations), and for $n = 1, W_{1,\text{smooth}} = W_1$; i.e., W_1 is entirely smooth.

Remark 1.8. By taking $s = 0$ in (1.3), we find the following branch of solutions of the Ginzburg-Landau equations (1.1):
$\{(0, a, h) : a$ is a constant curvature connection and h is a hermitian metric on $X\}$. We call this branch the *normal* (or constant curvature) branch. It is the starting point in our analysis (see Proposition 3.1).

Remark 1.9. There is a one-to-one correspondence between homomorphisms of E and sections of line bundles: a homomorphism $E \to E'$ is a section of the line bundle $E' \otimes E^{-1}$ and a section, g, of a line bundle J defines the homomorphism $g : E \to E' := J \otimes E$.

The second group of our results deal with the uniformization of the line bundles over Riemann surfaces and of constant curvature connections on them. These results are not used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in an essential way, but present a parallel story line.

Indeed, Theorem 1.1 can be formulated and proved entirely on the trivial bundle, $\tilde{E} := \tilde{X} \times \mathbb{C}$, over the universal cover, $\tilde{X}$, of X. While the

approach taken in this paper is more economical, its uniformized version is more explicit.

The second reason in pursuing the uniformized approach is that it offers a much more general definition of the (dynamical) stability solutions of the (lifting of the) GLE (1.1), which disrupts the relation between the description on X and its universal cover $\tilde{X}$ (see Proposition 1.11). This will be explained in more detail elsewhere.

To lift (1.1) to the universal cover, $\tilde{X}$, of X, let $\pi_1(X)$ act on $\tilde{X}$ by deck transformations, whose group is denoted by Γ, and let ρ be an automorphy map, i.e. $\rho : \Gamma \times \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{C}^*$ and satisfies the co-cycle relation

$$\rho(\gamma \cdot \gamma', z) = \rho(\gamma, \gamma' z)\rho(\gamma', z). \tag{1.6}$$

Then any holomorphic line bundle, E over X is isomorphic to one of the form $E_\rho = \tilde{E}/\rho$, where $\tilde{E}/\rho$ is a factor space according to the action of Γ

$$(z, \psi) \to (\gamma z,\ \rho(\gamma, z)\psi),\ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma. \tag{1.7}$$

The Chern class, $c_1(\rho)$, of ρ equals the degree of E_ρ (see [20], Theorem 2a for the definition of c_1).

Pulling back the section ψ and connection form a to $\tilde{E}$, we arrive at the section Ψ and connection form A on $\tilde{E}$, which satisfy the relations

$$\gamma^*\Psi = \rho_\gamma \Psi,\ \gamma^* A = A - i\rho_\gamma^{-1} d\rho_\gamma,\ \forall \gamma \in \pi_1(X), \tag{1.8}$$

where γ^* is the pull back of sections and connections by γ and where we have written $\rho(\gamma, z) \equiv \rho_\gamma(z)$. Conversely, if Ψ and A are a section and a connection form in $\tilde{E} = \tilde{X} \times \mathbb{C}$, then Ψ and A project to a section ψ and a connection form a on E if and only if they satisfy (1.8), with the automorphy factor ρ corresponding to E. Moreover, F_A on $\tilde{E}$ descends to F_a on E.

We say that a pair (Ψ, A) is $\rho-$*equivariant* (or *gauge* π_1-*invariant*) iff it satisfies (1.8) for some automorphy factor ρ.

For convenience of references we summarize a part of the above discussion as

Proposition 1.10. *There is a one to one correspondence between sections and connections on E and $\rho-$equivariant sections and connections on $\tilde{E} = \tilde{X} \times \mathbb{C}$ (i.e $\rho-$equivariant functions and one-forms on $\tilde{X}$).*

It is convenient to formulate the next property of the correspondence $(\psi, a) \Leftrightarrow (\Psi, A)$ as an elementary proposition:

Proposition 1.11. *The form of equations (1.1) does not change when lifted to the universal cover. (ψ, a) solve* (1.1) *on E iff its lift (Ψ, A) to $\tilde{E}$ solves* (1.1) *on $\tilde{E}$.*

Dealing with $\rho-$equivariant functions and one-forms on $\tilde{X}$, rather than with sections and connections on the bundle E, is convenient because of the global coordinates on $\tilde{X}$. Using this we give in Appendix B a hands-on proof of Theorem 1.4.

Recall that, since X is a Riemann surface of the genus $g \geq 2$, its universal cover, $\tilde{X}$, can be identified with the Poincaré upper complex half plane, $\mathbb{H}$, and $\pi_1(X)$ with a Fuchsian group Γ (acting on $\mathbb{H}$). Using the standard coordinate z on $\mathbb{H}$, we see that $\pi_1(X)$ is a subgroup of $PGL(2,\mathbb{R})$ acting on $\mathbb{H}$ by Möbius transforms, $\gamma z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$, $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma$.

Recall also that $PGL(2,\mathbb{R})$ is a group of isometries of $\mathbb{H}$ with the standard hyperbolic metrics $\tilde{h} = (\operatorname{Im} z)^{-2}|dz|^2$. The latter generates the hyperbolic volume form

$$\omega = \frac{i}{2}\operatorname{Im}(z)^{-2} dz \wedge d\overline{z}.$$

Finally, recall that the character of Γ is a homomorphism $\sigma : \Gamma \to U(1)$. We have the following results (for details see Sections 6 and 7).

Theorem 1.12. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map $\rho_n : PGL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{H} \to U(1)$, given by*

$$\rho_n(\gamma, z) = \left[\frac{c\overline{z}+d}{cz+d}\right]^{-\frac{n}{2g-2}}, \quad \textit{for} \quad \gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in PGL(2,\mathbb{R}), \tag{1.9}$$

is an automorphy factor. Consequently, for any Fuchsian group Γ and any character $\sigma : \Gamma \to U(1)$, the map $\rho_{n,\sigma} : \Gamma \times \mathbb{H} \to U(1)$ given by

$$\rho_{n,\sigma}(\gamma, z) = \sigma(\gamma)\rho_n(\gamma, z), \ \textit{for} \ \ \gamma \in \Gamma, \tag{1.10}$$

is also an automorphy factor. The Chern class of $\rho_{n,\sigma}$ is $c_1(\rho_{n,\sigma}) = n$.

Theorem 1.13. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the connection, A^n, on the trivial bundle $\tilde{E} := \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$, given by*

$$A^n = \frac{n}{2g-2} y^{-1} dx, \tag{1.11}$$

(a) has a constant curvature with respect to the standard hyperbolic volume form on $\mathbb{H}$ ($F_{A^n} = \frac{n}{2g-2}\omega$); (b) is equivariant with respect to the automorphy factor (1.10) *for any Fuchsian group Γ and any character $\sigma : \Gamma \to U(1)$; (c) is unique up to proper, i.e. with $g = e^{if}$, $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$, gauge transformations.*

The projection of A^n to $E_{n,\sigma}$ gives the distinguished connection $a^{n,\sigma}$ on $E_{n,\sigma}$.

Remark 1.14. As mentioned above one may associate to an automorphy ρ the bundle E_ρ. In fact this extends to the non-uniformized setting. The ingredients for this are the characters σ on Γ and the degree n which we

may think of as a character on the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}$. In the general setting the automorphy factors of the uniformized case become unitary characters on the product $\mathfrak{S} = \mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma$. There is a 1:1 correspondence between unitary characters and holomorphic line bundles on X which gives one yet another way to describe the Picard group:

$Pic^{(n)}(X)$ = group of unitary characters ρ on $\mathfrak{S}$ restricted to $c_1(\rho) = n$.

Hence, it is natural to label holomorphic line bundles as $E_{n,\sigma}$ where σ is a unitary character of Γ.

Because these characters take their values in an abelian group, $U(1)$, they only depend, on the abelianization of $\pi_1(X)$ which we will denote by Γ_{abel}. As with $\pi_1(X)$ itself, Γ_{abel} depends on the basepoint for the paths. This appears in the explicit realizations of these characters as, for instance, in (1.9) which depends on z, unless $n = 0$. However, abstractly, the abelianization is isomorphic to the first homology group: $\Gamma_{\text{abel}} \simeq H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 1.15. In the more general setting of vector bundles, characters are replaced by representations and when $c_1 \neq 0$ one must consider representations of central extensions of $\pi_1(X)$ [2]. However, in the case of line bundles where the gauge group is abelian, the central extension splits into a direct product of $\mathbb{Z}$ with $\pi_1(X)$; the main remnant of this extension in the character is the degree n.

Remark 1.16. 1) The description in the above theorem does not depend at all on the complex structure of the underlying Riemann surface and therefore serves as a very useful gauge for analyzing this problem.

2) A section g of the line bundle over X with fibers $Aut(E_x)$ defines the isomorphism

$$gE_\rho = E_{g_*\rho},\ g_*\rho_\gamma := (\gamma^* \tilde{g})\rho_\gamma \tilde{g}^{-1}, \tag{1.12}$$

where $\tilde{g}$ is a lift of a section g and we used the notation $\rho_\gamma(z) = \rho(\gamma, z)$. This gives the 'gauge' transformations of the automorphy factors. Thus, changing a connection using gauge equivalence changes the bundle automorphy factor, so, in general, it is impossible to adjust both simultaneously.

Consider a special case of (1.12):

If g is such that its lifting $\tilde{g}$ to $\tilde{X}$ ($g \circ \pi = \tilde{g}$) satisfies

$$\tilde{g}(\gamma z) = \tilde{g}(z)\sigma'(\gamma),\ \sigma' \in Hom(\pi_1(X), U(1)),$$

then $g_*\rho_{n,\sigma} = \rho_{n,\sigma'\sigma}$. In particular, if $g : X \to U(1)$ (i.e. it is a section of a trivial bundle, so that $\tilde{g}$ satisfies $\tilde{g}(\gamma x) = \tilde{g}(x),\ \forall \gamma \in \pi_1(X)$), then $g_*\rho = \rho$.

2) The gauge invariance implies that we can consider GLEs on a fixed bundle $E_{n,\sigma}, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \sigma \in Hom(\pi_1(X), U(1))$.

Considering a family, $h = rh', r > 1$, of Hermitian metrics, it is convenient to rescale the Ginzburg-Landau equations (1.1) to the fixed hermitian metric, h'. Then (1.1) rescale to the form

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_a \psi &= (\kappa^2 |\psi|^2 - \mu)\psi, \\ d^* da &= \mathrm{Im}(\overline{\psi} \nabla_a \psi), \end{cases} \tag{1.13}$$

where $\mu := \kappa^2 r$ (see Appendix A.1). From now on, we consider (1.13), with μ an arbitrary positive number, and omitting the prime, the hermitian metric h.

The paper is organized as follows. After a preliminary Sections 2 and Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.3, in Sections 4 and 5, with some technical derivations given in Appendix C. In Sections 6 and 7, we prove Theorems 1.12 and 1.13, respectively.

In Appendices B and D and E, we prove Theorem 1.4 and discuss the proof of Proposition 1.5 and an explicit form of Corollary 1.6, see Remark 1.7, respectively.

We also have Appendix F, which gives some additional results not used in the proofs or comments.

2 Flux quantization (the Chern-Weil relation)

We have the following well-known result (the magnetic flux quantization or the Chern-Weil relation):

Theorem 2.1. *If E is a line bundle on X, with a connection a, then*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_X F_a \quad = \deg(E) \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\deg(E)$ *is the degree of E.*

$c_1(E) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_X F_a$ is called sometimes the first Chern number. It can be defined in terms of the automorphy factor of X, see [20].

Now, suppose ∇_a is a connection of constant curvature on E. Then, by (2.1), we have

$$b = \frac{1}{|X|} \int_X F_a = 2\pi c_1(E)/|X| = 2\pi n/|X|. \tag{2.2}$$

where $|X|$ is the area of X. For the hyperbolic metric the Gauss-Bonnet formula gives that $|X| = 2\pi(2g-2)$. This, together with (2.2), gives

$$b = n/(2g-2). \tag{2.3}$$

3 The normal branch of solutions

It is convenient to assemble some elementary and well known facts needed later into the following

Proposition 3.1. *(i)* $(0, a, h)$ *is a solution of the Ginzburg–Landau equations* (1.1) *on a line bundle* E *iff* a *is a constant curvature connection on* E*.*

(ii) Any constant curvature connection, a*, on* E *is of the form* $a_* + \beta$*, where* a_* *is a fixed constant curvature connection on* E *and* β *is a closed one-form,* $d\beta = 0$*.*

For the first statement, see e.g. [35] and for the second one follows from a general fact that any connection on E can be written as a fixed connection plus a one-form (on X with values in $End(E)$), see e. g. [23], Proposition 4.2.2 and [13], Sect 2.2.1, page 50. Since the first statement is crucial for us, for the reader's convenience we prove it below. Namely, it is implied by the following

Proposition 3.2. *a is an constant curvature connection on E iff it satisfies the (Maxwell) equation*

$$d^* da = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Consequently, the triple $(0, a, \mu)$ *solves the Ginzburg-Landau equations* (1.13) *for every constant curvature connection* a *and every* $\mu > 0$*, which proves Proposition 3.1(i).*

Proof. Let $*$ be the Hodge star operator (the properties $*$ are listed in (A.2)). Using the relation $d^* = (-1)^k * d*$ on $k-$forms, we find $d^*da = - * d * da$. Since $*da = b$, for some constant b, we have $d^*da = - * db = 0$.

Conversely, if $d^*da = 0$, then $df = 0$, where $f := *da$ is a function on X, which implies that f is constant. □

Recall that we call the branch $\{(0, a, \mu) : a$ is a constant curvature connection and $\mu > 0\}$ of solutions of the Ginzburg-Landau equations (1.13), the *normal* (or constant curvature) branch. We will bifurcate from this branch.

Let Ω^1_c be the subspace of real (smooth or square integrable) closed one-forms on X with values in E^*_n.

Proposition 3.1(ii) implies that the space, $\mathcal{A}_{cc,n}$, of constant curvature connections on E_n is of the form

$$\mathcal{A}_{cc,n} = a^n + \Omega^1_c, \tag{3.2}$$

where a^n is a fixed constant curvature connection on E_n.

4 The linear problem

The first step in the bifurcation analysis is to investigate the linearized equations.

We linearize the Ginzburg-Landau equations (1.13) at the solution $(0, a^n)$, where a^n is a constant curvature connection on E_n (see Propositions 3.2 and 3.1(ii)), to obtain the pair of equations

$$-\Delta_{a^n}\phi - \mu\phi = 0,\ d^*d\alpha = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

on X. Our goal is to solve these equations. We begin with the first equation.

We decompose, as usual, the unitary connection ∇_a as $\nabla_a = \partial'_a + \partial''_a$, where $\partial'_a : \Omega^{p,q} \to \Omega^{p+1,q}$ and $\partial''_a : \Omega^{p,q+1} \to \Omega^{p,q}$ (cf. Section 7). We show

Proposition 4.1. *Let a^n be a connection of the constant curvature, $F_a = b\omega$ ($b = \frac{2\pi n}{|X|}$). Then the operator $-\Delta_{a^n}$ has purely discrete spectrum, is bounded below as $-\Delta_{a^n} \geq b$ and b is the smallest eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{a^n}$ iff* $\operatorname{Null}\partial''_{a^n} \neq \emptyset$. *More precisely,*

$$\operatorname{Null}(-\Delta_{a^n} - b) = \operatorname{Null}\partial''_{a^n}. \tag{4.2}$$

The value $\mu = b$ is a bifurcation point for our problem. More precisely, if $\operatorname{Null}\partial''_{a^n} \neq \emptyset$, then $\operatorname{Null}(-\Delta_{a^n} - b) = \emptyset$, if $\mu < b$, and $\operatorname{Null}(-\Delta_{a^n} - b) \neq \emptyset$ if $\mu = b$.

The statement that the operator $-\Delta_{a^n}$ has purely discrete spectrum is a standard consequence of the condition that X is compact. The rest of Proposition 4.1 follows from the following Weitzenböck-type formula or harmonic oscillator representation, which was proven in [32] and whose simple proof is presented in Appendix C.

Proposition 4.2.

$$\partial''^*_a\partial''_a = \frac{1}{2}(-\Delta_a - *F_a). \tag{4.3}$$

Since $*F_{a^n} = b$, this proposition implies Proposition 4.1.

This finishes the first equation in (4.1). For the second equation in (4.1), let $\Omega^1_{\mathrm{harm,re}}$ be the subspace of real (smooth or square integrable) one-forms on X with values in E^*_n, which are harmonic, i.e. satisfying

$$d\beta = 0,\ d^*\beta = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Then, by Proposition 3.2, the definition of $\vec{\mathscr{H}}^2$ and the integration by parts ($\langle\alpha, d^*d\alpha\rangle = \|d\alpha\|^2$), we have

Corollary 4.3.

$$\operatorname{Null} d^*d\big|_{\vec{\mathscr{H}}^2} = \Omega^1_{\mathrm{harm}}. \tag{4.5}$$

Remark. Let $H^1_{dR}(\mathbb{H},\mathbb{R})$ be the first de Rham cohomology group. Then we have the following standard result (which follows from the Hodge decomposition theorem)

$$\Omega^1_{\rm harm}(X,\mathbb{R}) \approx H^1_{dR}(X,\mathbb{R}), \tag{4.6}$$

where $\approx$ stands for the isomorphism identifying elements of $\Omega^1_{\rm harm}(X,\mathbb{R})$ with equivalence classes of $H^1_{dR}(X,\mathbb{R})$.

5 Bifurcation analysis

We define the spaces, $\mathscr{L}^2_n$ and $\vec{\mathscr{L}}^2$, of L^2-sections of E_n and L^2-one-forms, α, on X (with values in $End(E_n)$), satisfying $\alpha \perp \Omega^1_c$, and let $\mathscr{H}^s_n$ and $\vec{\mathscr{H}}^s_n$ be their natural Sobolev versions.

We look for solutions of the Ginzburg–Landau equations, (1.13), in the form $(\psi, a^n+\alpha)$, where $(\psi,\alpha) \in \mathscr{H}^2_n \times \vec{\mathscr{H}}^2_n$. Using (3.1) ($d^*da^n=0$), we rewrite (1.13) as

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{a^n+\alpha}\psi + (\kappa^2|\psi|^2-\mu)\psi = 0, \\ d^*d\alpha - {\rm Im}(\overline{\psi}\nabla_{a^n+\alpha}\psi) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Our strategy is, following [34, **?**, 10], to solve first the following modified equation

$$F(\psi,\alpha,\mu)=0,\ F:\mathscr{H}^2\times\vec{\mathscr{H}}^2\times\mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathscr{L}^2_n\times\vec{\mathscr{L}}^2_{n,\sigma}, \tag{5.2}$$

$$F(\psi,\alpha,\mu) = (-\Delta_{a^n+\alpha}\psi + (\kappa^2|\psi|^2-\mu)\psi,\ d^*d\alpha - P_{\rm co-clo}J(\psi,\alpha)), \tag{5.3}$$

where $J(\psi,\alpha) := {\rm Im}(\overline{\psi}\nabla_{a^n+\alpha}\psi)$ and $P_{\rm co-clo}$ is the orthogonal projection in the space of real square integrable one-forms onto the subspace of real co-closed one-forms, Ω^1_{c*}. Then we go from (5.2) back to the original system (5.1) using the following proposition and its corollary:

Proposition 5.1. *Assume (ψ,a) solves the first GL equation in* (5.1)*, i.e.*

$$-\Delta_a\psi + (\kappa^2|\psi|^2-\mu)\psi = 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Then $J(\psi,a)$ is a co-closed one-form (i.e. $d*J=0$).

Corollary 5.2. *A solution (ψ,α,μ) to* (5.2) *solves also* (5.1)*.*

Proof of Proposition 5.1. Given a base point $z_0\in\tilde{X}$ and a closed form β on X, we define the family of maps

$$\tilde{g}_s(z) \equiv \tilde{g}(z)^s,\ \tilde{g}(z)\equiv\tilde{g}_{z_0,\beta}(z) := e^{i\int_{z_0}^z\tilde{\beta}} : \tilde{X}\to U(1), \tag{5.5}$$

where $\tilde{\beta}$ is a lift of β to $\tilde{X}$ and $\int_{z_0}^{z} \tilde{\beta}$ is the integral of $\tilde{\beta}$ over a path, $c_{z_0,z}$, in $\tilde{X}$ from z_0 to z. Since β is a closed one-form, these maps are independent of the choice of paths $c_{z_0,z}$ from the same homotopy class, are differentiable and satisfy

$$\tilde{g}_s(\gamma z) = \tilde{g}_s(z)\sigma'_s(\gamma),\ \ \sigma'_s(\gamma) := e^{i\ s\int_\gamma \beta} \in Hom(\Gamma, U(1)). \tag{5.6}$$

Here $\int_\gamma \beta$ is a period of β. (For the last equation, we have $\tilde{g}_s(\gamma z)\tilde{g}_s(z)^{-1} = e^{i\ s\int_z^{\gamma z}\tilde{\beta}} = e^{i\ s\int_\gamma \beta}$. For more details, see [15], Theorem 10.13.)

As a consequence of the last equality, the maps $\tilde{g}_s(z)$ descend to sections g_s of a line bundle over X with fibers isomorphic to $U(1)$, which satisfy $g_s^{-1}dg_s = is\beta$.

The sections g_s generate the gauge transformations and the Ginzburg-Landau energy functional

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi, a, h) = \|\nabla_a \psi\|^2 + \|da\|^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\|(|\psi|^2 - 1)\|^2. \tag{5.7}$$

is invariant under these transformations: $\mathcal{E}(\psi, a) = \mathcal{E}(g_s\psi, a + ig_s^{-1}dg_s)$. We differentiate the last equation with respect to s at $s = 0$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Re}\langle \nabla_a\psi, \nabla_a(\ln g\psi)\rangle + \langle(\kappa^2|\psi|^2 - \mu)\psi, \ln g\psi\rangle \\ + \langle d^*da - J(\psi, a), \beta\rangle = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Assume β is exact (and therefore its periods vanish). Then we can write $g = e^{if}$, where f is a real (single-valued) function on X and integrating by parts in the first term and using $da = d\alpha$ and $\langle d^*d\alpha, \beta\rangle = \langle d\alpha, d\beta\rangle = 0$, we find

$$\mathrm{Re}\langle -\Delta_a\psi + (\kappa^2|\psi|^2 - \mu)\psi, if\psi\rangle - \langle J(\psi, a), \beta\rangle = 0. \tag{5.9}$$

This, together with equation (5.4), implies $\langle J(\psi, a), \beta\rangle = 0$. Since the last equation holds for any exact form β, we conclude that $J(\psi, a)$ is co-closed. □

Thus, by Corollary 5.2, it suffices to solve the equation $F = 0$, with F given in (5.3). Let $u := (\psi, \alpha)$. The map, $F(u, \mu)$, has the properties (a) F is C^2; (b) $F(T_\eta^{\mathrm{gauge}}u, \mu) = F(u, \mu)$ and (c) $F(0, \mu) = 0, \forall\mu$, and therefore $(0, \mu)$ is a trivial branch of solutions to $F(u, \mu) = 0$.[3]

Clearly, $dF(0, \mu) = (-\Delta_{a^n} - \mu) \oplus d^*d$. By Proposition 4.1, $\mathrm{Null}(-\Delta_{a^n} - b) = \mathrm{Null}\,\partial''_{a^n}$ and by (4.5), we have $\mathrm{Null}_{\vec{\mathscr{H}}^2} d^*d = \Omega^1_{\mathrm{harm}}$. Hence the null space, K_μ, of $dF(0, b)$ is

$$K_\mu = \begin{cases} \mathrm{Null}\,\partial''_{a^n} \times \Omega^1_{\mathrm{harm}} & \text{if } \ \mu = b, \\ \{0\} \times \Omega^1_{\mathrm{harm}} & \text{if } \ \mu < b. \end{cases} \tag{5.10}$$

[3]In fact, there is a larger trivial branch of the form $(\psi = 0, \beta, \mu)$, where $\beta \in \Omega^1_{\mathrm{harm}}$, but we will avoid using the latter.

We define P to be the projection on K_b and let $P^\perp = 1 - P$. By (5.10) and the definition of $P^\perp$, $d_w P^\perp F\big|_{w=0} = P^\perp d_w F\big|_{w=0}$ is invertible on $\operatorname{Ran} P^\perp$. Now, we perform Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction:

$$PF(v+w,\mu) = 0, \tag{5.11}$$

$$P^\perp F(v+w,\mu) = 0, \tag{5.12}$$

where $v := P(\psi,\alpha)$ and $w := P^\perp(\psi,\alpha)$. Since $d_w P^\perp F\big|_{w=0}$ is invertible on $\operatorname{Ran} P^\perp$, provided v and $\mu - b$ are sufficiently small, we can use the implicit function theorem to find a unique solution, $w = w(v,\mu)$, for (5.12) (again provided v and $\mu - b$ are sufficiently small).

By the assumption of Theorem 1.3, ∂''_{a^n} has a non trivial one-dimensional kernel. Let ϕ be the unique (modulo gauge transformations) solution to the equation $\partial''_{a^n}\phi = 0$. We assume ϕ is normalized, i.e. $\|\phi\| = 1$. Observe that

$$v \equiv v(s,\mu) := P(\psi,\alpha) = (s\phi,\beta), \tag{5.13}$$

where $s = \langle\phi,\psi\rangle$ and β is the projection of α onto Ω^1_{harm}. So that the solution to (5.12) can be written as $w = w(s,\beta,\mu)$, with (s,β,μ) in a neighbourhood of $(0,0,b)$.

To estimate $w(s,\mu)$, we decompose $P^\perp F$ into the linear and nonlinear components, $P^\perp F(v+w,\mu) = L^\perp w + N(v,w)$, and rewrite (5.12) as the fixed point problem $w = -(L^\perp)^{-1}N(v,w)$. Then using estimates on $N(v,w)$ and its derivatives, it is not hard to show that, for (s,μ) in a neighbourhood of $(0,b)$,

$$\partial^\nu w(s,\beta,\mu) = (O(s^3), O(s^2)),\ \forall\nu, \tag{5.14}$$

where ∂^ν is a derivative of the order ν in s,β,μ (recall that $\beta \in \Omega^1_{\text{harm}}$, a finite dimensional vector space) and $O(s^k)$ stands for a remainder, which is uniformly bounded, together with its derivatives in μ, by Cs^k.

Substituting the solution $w = w(s,\beta,\mu)$ to (5.12) into equation (5.11), we arrive at the equation

$$PF(s,\beta,\mu) = 0,\ F(s,\beta,\mu) := F(v(s,\beta,\mu) + w(s,\beta,\mu),\mu). \tag{5.15}$$

This equation has the $U(1)$ symmetry in s: for α constant, we have $PF(e^{i\alpha}s,\beta,\mu) = e^{i\alpha}PF(s,\beta,\mu)$.

Let $F = (F_1, F_2)$ and P_{harm} be the the second component of the projection P, i.e. the orthogonal projection onto Ω^1_{harm}. By the definition of P, the equation $PF(s,\beta,\mu) = 0$ is equivalent to the equations $\langle\phi, F_1(s,\beta,\mu)\rangle = 0$ and $P_{\text{harm}}F_2(s,\beta,\mu) = 0$. By (5.13) and (5.14), $\psi = s\phi + O(s^3)$ and $\alpha = O(s^2)$. Using this, we expand $F_i(s,\beta,\mu)$ in s to the leading order:

$$F_1(s,\beta,\mu) = s(-\Delta_{a^n+\beta} - \mu\mathbb{I})\phi + O(s^3), \tag{5.16}$$

$$F_2(s,\beta,\mu) = |s|^2[-\operatorname{Im}(\bar\phi\nabla_{a^n}\phi) + |\phi|^2\beta] + O(s^4). \tag{5.17}$$

In the next proposition, we show that the leading term on the r.h.s. of (5.17) drops out. Recall the decomposition $\nabla_a = \partial'_a + \partial''_a$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let a^n be a constant curvature connection on E_n and ϕ is a solution to $\partial''_{a^n}\phi = 0$. Then $J(\phi, a^n)$ is co-exact and consequently*

$$P_{\text{harm}}\,\text{Im}(\bar{\phi}\nabla_{a^n}\phi) = 0. \tag{5.18}$$

Proof. Using that $\nabla_a = \partial'_a + \partial''_a$ and that ϕ is a solution to $\partial''_{a^n}\phi = 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Im}(\overline{\phi}\nabla_{a^n}\phi) &= \text{Im}(\overline{\phi}[\overline{\partial}_{a^n} + \partial_{a^n}]\phi) &(5.19)\\ &= \text{Im}(\overline{\phi}\partial'_{a^n}\phi). &(5.20)\end{aligned}$$

Next, we can proceed either locally or by lifting to the universal cover and using $\nabla_A = \partial'_{A_c} + \partial''_{A_c}$, where $A_c := \frac{1}{2}(A_1 - iA_2)dz$, $\partial'_{A_c} = \partial + iA_c$ and $\partial''_{A_c} = \overline{\partial} + i\bar{A}_c = -\partial^*_{A_c}$. We take the former route.

Using that $\overline{\phi}\partial\phi = \partial|\phi|^2 - \phi\partial\overline{\phi}$, we find $\overline{\phi}\partial_{a^n}\phi = \partial|\phi|^2 - \phi(\partial - ia^n)\overline{\phi} = \partial|\phi|^2$, which, together with the relation $\text{Im}\,\partial f = *df$, gives

$$\text{Im}(\overline{\phi}\partial_{a^n}\phi) = \text{Im}(\partial|\phi|^2) = *d|\phi|^2 = -d^*(*|\phi|^2) \tag{5.21}$$

Hence $J(\phi, a^n)$ is co-exact. □

Hence using (5.16) - (5.17), (5.18), $\Delta_{a^n+\beta}\phi = \Delta_{a^n}\phi + O(s\beta)$ and $(-\Delta_{a^n} - b)\phi = 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}PF(s,\beta,\mu) = \big(&O(s\beta) + s(\mu - b)\phi + O(s^3), &(5.22)\\ &|s|^2 P_{\text{harm}}(|\phi|^2\beta) + O(s^4)\big). &(5.23)\end{aligned}$$

Recall that Ω^1_{harm} is a $2g-$dimensional space. We fix an orthonormal basis $\{\omega_i\}_{i=1}^{2g}$ for Ω^1_{harm} so that $\beta = \sum_i t_i\omega_i$ and let $t := (t_1, \ldots, t_{2g})$. Then

$$P(\psi, \alpha) = (s\phi, \sum_i t_i\omega_i).$$

Then the solution for (5.12) can be written in the form of $w \equiv w(s,t,\mu)$, for s, t, μ in a neighbourhood of $(0, 0, b)$.

Let $v(s,t,\mu) := P(\psi,\alpha) = (s\phi, \sum_i t_i\omega_i)$. Substituting the solution $w = w(s,t,\mu) = (\psi^\perp(s,t,\mu), \alpha^\perp(s,t,\mu))$ to (5.12) into equation (5.11), we arrive at the equation $G(s,t,\mu) = 0$, where

$$G(s,t,\mu) := PF(v(s,t,\mu) + w(s,t,\mu), \mu). \tag{5.24}$$

Let $G = (G_1, G_2)$. The equations $G_1(s,t,\mu) = 0$ and $G_2(s,t,\mu) = 0$ are equivalent to the equations $\langle\phi, F_1(s,t,\mu)\rangle = 0$ and $\langle\omega_i, F_2(s,t,\mu)\rangle = 0, i =$

$1, \dots, 2g$, which, after using (5.22) - (5.23) and division by s and $|s|^2$, respectively, give

$$(b-\mu)\|\phi\|^2 + O(|t|) + O(s^2) = 0,$$
$$\sum_j B_{ij} t_i + O(s^2) = 0, \forall i,$$

where $B_{ij} := \langle \omega_j, |\phi|^2 \omega_i \rangle_X$ and $O(s^2)$ stand for a remainder uniformly bounded, together with its derivatives in t, μ, by Cs^2. Clearly, the matrix B_{ij} is strictly positive and therefore is invertible. Hence, by the implicit function theorem, the latter system of equations has a unique solution for (μ, t) of the form

$$\mu = b + O(s^2),\ t = O(s^2). \tag{5.25}$$

Thus, equation (5.15) (or $G(s,t,\mu) = 0$) has a solution for μ and t as a function of s. Together with the previous conclusion, this gives the solution to the equation $F(u,\mu) = 0$ for $u := (\psi, \alpha)$, μ and t in terms of s.

To sum up, for s sufficiently small, the equation $F(u,\mu) = 0$ has a family of solutions of the form $u_s = (s\phi + O(s^3), O(s^2)),\ \mu_s = b + O(s^2)$.

Then by Corollary 5.2, it solves (5.1), and therefore (1.13) has a solution of the form (1.3) with the properties stated in Theorem 1.1, i.e. that the new solutions bifurcate from the trivial one. This proves Theorem 1.3. □

6 Automorphy Factors

In this section, we give the calculation proving Theorem 1.12. Recall $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$ with the group $\mathbb{P}GL(2,\mathbb{R})$ acting on $\mathbb{H}$ by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cdot z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.12. Let $\beta = \frac{n}{2g-2}$ and $s = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ t = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma$. Using (1.9), we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_n(s \cdot t, z) &= \left[\frac{(ce+dg)\overline{z} + (cf+dh)}{(ce+dg)z + (cf+dh)}\right]^{-\beta} \\
&= \left[\frac{c(e\overline{z}+f) + d(g\overline{z}+h)}{(c(ez+f) + d(gz+h)}\right]^{-\beta} \\
&= \left[\frac{c\frac{e\overline{z}+f}{g\overline{z}+h} + d}{c\frac{ez+f}{gz+h} + d}\right]^{-\beta} \left[\frac{g\overline{z}+h}{gz+h}\right]^{-\beta} \\
&= \rho_n(s, t\cdot z)\rho_n(t,z).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the formula for the Chern class, $c_1(\rho)$, of a co-cycle ρ (see [20], Theorem 2a), we compute $c_1(\rho) = n$. □

7 Uniform constant curvature connection and its holomorphic structure

In this section we prove Theorem 1.13. We begin with some preliminary constructions.

We consider the trivial bundle, $\tilde{E} := \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ with the standard complex structure on $\mathbb{H}$ associated to the hyperbolic metric $\tilde{h} = (\operatorname{Im} z)^{-2}|dz|^2$.

Since we work here on a global product space, it is natural to take the fiber metric to be induced from the metric on the base. So we take the metric on the fiber $\mathbb{C}$ over the point $z \in \mathbb{H}$ to be $k_z = (\operatorname{Im} z)^{-2}|dw|^2$ where w is the coordinate on the fiber $\mathbb{C}_z$.

Let the connection A be given by $A := A_1 dx_1 + A_2 dx_2$. We decompose the covariant derivative ∇_A into $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ parts as $\nabla_A = \partial'_A + \partial''_A$, where

$$\partial'_A := \partial + iA_c,\ \partial''_A := \overline{\partial} + i\bar{A}_c. \tag{7.1}$$

Here $\partial := \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \otimes dz$ and $\overline{\partial} := \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}} \otimes d\overline{z}$, where, as usual, $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} := \partial_z := (\partial_{x_1} - i\partial_{x_2})/2$ and $\overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}} \equiv \partial_{\bar{z}} := (\partial_{x_1} + i\partial_{x_2})/2$ and

$$A_c := \frac{1}{2}(A_1 - iA_2) \otimes dz,\ \bar{A}_c := \frac{1}{2}(A_1 + iA_2) \otimes d\bar{z}. \tag{7.2}$$

We call the complex one-form A^c the complexification of the real connection A.[4] In the reverse direction, we have $A = 2\operatorname{Re} A_c$.

In terms of A_c, the curvature is given by $F_A = 2\operatorname{Re}\bar{\partial} A_c$. Moreover, if A_c satisfies the equivariance relation $s^*\bar{A}_c = \bar{A}_c - i\overline{\partial}\tilde{f}_s$, then A satisfies $s^*A = A + df_s$, with f_s satisfying $df_s := 2\operatorname{Im}\overline{\partial}\tilde{f}_s$.

According to (7.2), the complexification of the connection A^n given in the theorem is $A^n_c = \frac{n}{2g-2}\frac{1}{2\operatorname{Im}(z)}dz$.

Proof of Theorem 1.13. We omit the superindex n in A^n and A^n_c and use the notation $b = \frac{n}{2g-2}$.

Proof of constant curvature. Using that $\omega = \frac{i}{2}\operatorname{Im}(z)^{-2}dz \wedge d\overline{z}$, we find

$$\bar{\partial}A_c = \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}}\frac{ib}{z-\overline{z}}d\bar{z} \wedge dz = \frac{-ib}{(z-\overline{z})^2}dz \wedge d\overline{z} = \frac{ib}{4\operatorname{Im}(z)^2}dz \wedge d\overline{z} = \frac{b}{2}\omega.$$

Since $F_A = 2\operatorname{Re}\bar{\partial}A_c$, this gives the desired result.

[4]The operators ∂'_A and ∂''_A are defined directly as follows. Let J be the natural almost complex structure on X (a linear endomorphism of $T^*\tilde{X}$ satisfying $J^2 = -\mathbf{1}$), generated by $J(dx_1) = dx_2$ and $J(dx_2) = -dx_1$. Then $\Pi_\pm : T^*\tilde{X} \to T^{*1,0}_{\tilde{X}}/T^{*0,1}_{\tilde{X}}$ via $v \mapsto (v \pm iJ(v))/2$ defines the (scaled) projection onto antiholomorphic forms. We define $\partial'_A = \Pi_+(\nabla_A)$ and $\partial''_A = \Pi_-(\nabla_A)$ which is computed explicitly to be as in (7.1).

Proof of uniqueness. If A and B, satisfy $dB = dA$ then $d(A-B) = 0$. It follows from the simple connectedness of $\mathbb{H}$ that $A - B = df$ for some function $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ and f is unique up to an additive constant. So we can map B to A through a suitable retrivialization. This completes the proof.

Proof of equivariance. For a generic isometry $s(z) = \frac{\alpha z+\beta}{\gamma z+\delta}$, we have $\frac{\partial s(z)}{\partial z} = (\gamma z+\delta)^{-2}$ and $\operatorname{Im}(s(z)) = \frac{\operatorname{Im}(z)}{|\gamma z+\delta|^2}$, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} s^*\bar{A}_c &= \frac{b}{2\operatorname{Im}(s(z))}\overline{\frac{\partial s(z)}{\partial z}}d\overline{z} = \frac{k|\gamma z+\delta|^2}{2\operatorname{Im}(z)}\frac{d\overline{z}}{(\gamma\overline{z}+\delta)^2} \\ &= \frac{b}{2\operatorname{Im}(z)}\frac{\gamma z+\delta}{\gamma\overline{z}+\delta}d\overline{z} = \frac{b}{2\operatorname{Im}(z)}d\overline{z} + \frac{b(\gamma z-\gamma\overline{z})}{2\operatorname{Im}(z)(\gamma\overline{z}+\delta)}d\overline{z} \\ &= \bar{A}_c + \frac{ib\gamma}{\gamma\overline{z}+\delta}d\overline{z} =: \bar{A}_c + \overline{\partial}\tilde{f}_s, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{f}_s$ is the function defined by the last relation, i.e. $\overline{\partial}\tilde{f}_s = \frac{ib\gamma}{\gamma\overline{z}+\delta}d\overline{z}$. Solving this equation, we find

$$\tilde{f}_s = b\ln(\gamma\overline{z}+\delta) + c_s.$$

Now, we define $f_s := 2\operatorname{Re}\tilde{f}_s$ and use that $\operatorname{Re}(\bar{\partial}\tilde{f}_s) = d(\operatorname{Re}\tilde{f}_s)$ (as can be checked by the direct computation: $\frac{1}{b}\operatorname{Re}(\bar{\partial}\tilde{f}_s) = -\frac{\gamma^2 x_2}{|\gamma z+\delta|^2}dx_1 + \frac{\gamma(\gamma x_1+\delta)}{|\gamma z+\delta|^2}dx_2$) to obtain $s^*A = A + df_s$, with

$$\begin{aligned} f_s &= 2\operatorname{Re}(\tilde{f}_s) = ib\ln\left[\frac{\gamma\overline{z}+\delta}{\gamma z+\delta}\right] + c_s, \\ \rho(s,z) &= e^{if_s(z)} = e^{ic_s}\left[\frac{\gamma\overline{z}+\delta}{\gamma z+\delta}\right]^{-b}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

Since $\mathbb{H}$ is the upper half plane, the complex logarithm is well defined and $\gamma z + d$ is always non zero. □

Remark 7.1. 1) The function $\tilde{f}_s(z)$ appearing above gives the character $\tilde{\rho}(z) = e^{\tilde{f}_s(z)}$, which is now $\mathbb{C}^*$ valued instead of $U(1)$ valued.

2) A^n is $\mathbb{R}$ - linear while A^c is naturally $\mathbb{C}$ - linear. It is natural to ask what the action of i on A^n_c does when we map back to A^n. A simple calculation shows that $iA^n_c = i\frac{b}{2}\frac{1}{\operatorname{Im}(z)}dz$ maps to $by^{-1}dy$ which is flat! It turns out that the complex action of i induces a rotation into the space of flat connections.

Since $(\partial''_{A^n})^2 = 0$, the partial connection ∂''_{A^n} gives a holomorphic structure on E_n, unique up to a complex gauge transformation, which in turn corresponds to the character (1.10) (see Remark 1.14).

Proposition 7.2. *[22] For $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ the holomorphic sections of $H^0(X, E\otimes F)$ are modular forms of weight $-b$ for Γ. (See Appendix F for details.)*

Remark 7.3. For non-integral b, the sections of the proposition lift to modular forms of weight $-\frac{n}{\gcd(n,2g-2)}$ on a $\frac{2g-2}{\gcd(n,2g-2)}$ cover of X ([14] III.9.13).

Acknowledgements

The first and fourth authors' research is supported in part by NSERC Grant No. NA7901. During the work on the paper, the fourth author enjoyed the support of the NCCR SwissMAP. The second author's work is supported by NSF Grant No. DMS 1615921. The third author acknowledges the University of Saskatchewan for a New Faculty Recruitment Grant.

A Variation of the hermitian metric

Given a complex structure, the Riemannian metric can be specified in local complex coordinate z by a positive smooth function $\lambda(z)$ as $ds^2 = \lambda(z)|dz^2|$ and the corresponding volume form by $\omega = \lambda(z)dz \wedge d\bar{z}$. Solutions to (1.1) depend parametrically on h only through the positive, smooth function $\lambda(z)$. In this appendix we first consider how our variational equations change if $\lambda(z)$ is simply rescaled. Then we describe what happens under more general deformaitons.

A.1 Rescaled equations

Here we show that the Ginzburg-Landau equations, (1.1), with the hermitian metric rh are equivalent to (1.13), with $\mu = r\kappa^2$. We distinguish the quantities related to the family of Hermitian metrics $ds = r\lambda(z)|dz|$ by tildes. It suffices to show that

$$\tilde{\Delta}_A = \frac{1}{r}\Delta_A, \ \tilde{M} = \frac{1}{r}M, \tag{A.1}$$

where, recall, $M := d^*d$. We note that $-\Delta_A = - * \overline{(-d + iA)} * (d + iA)$, where $*$ is the Hodge star operator and that the scaling of the metric arises only in the application of the Hodge star. To prove the first relation, we use that, since g_{ij} is conformally flat and therefore dx_1 and dx_2 are orthogonal, we have that

$$*dx_1 = dx_2, \ *dx_2 = -dx_1, \ *1 = \lambda dx_1 \wedge dx_2, \ *dx_1 \wedge dx_2 = 1/\lambda. \tag{A.2}$$

Indeed, $*dx_1 = \frac{||dx_1||}{||dx_2||}dx_2 = \frac{\sqrt{g^{11}}}{\sqrt{g^{22}}}dx_2 = \frac{\sqrt{1/\lambda}}{\sqrt{1/\lambda}}dx_2 = dx_2$ and similarly for the other relations. This gives $\tilde{\Delta}_A = \tilde{*}\overline{(d + iA)}\tilde{*}(d+iA) = \frac{1}{r}\,\overline{(d + iA)}*(d+iA) = \frac{1}{r}\Delta_A$. We note that taking $\tilde{\psi} = \sqrt{r}\psi$ produces the rescaled equations, which after omitting the tilde read (1.13) with $\mu := \kappa^2 r$ (cf. [6]).

A.2 Gauged Deformations of the metric

We fix a base volume form $\lambda_0(z)dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ which determines a fixed base * operator. Then any other * operator gotten from the base volume form, or equivalently the base * operator, by multiplying with the factor $\lambda(z)/\lambda_0(z)$. To ensure the non-vanishing of the volume form we set $\lambda = e^{h(z)}$ and vary $\lambda(z)$ by varying h. Now we make some convenient definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0(z) &= e^{h_0(z)} \\ \lambda(z)/\lambda_0(z) &= e^{h(z)-h_0(z)} \\ g(z) &= e^{-(h(z)-h_0(z))} \end{aligned}$$

Finally we let $\widehat{*}$ denote the * operator associated to λ_0 while $*$ denotes the * operator associated to λ. Now note how the three terms in the energy (5.7) scale with $\lambda(z)$. The first term is independent, the second term scales as $\lambda^{-1}(z)$ and the third term scales as $\lambda(z)$. Now, integrating by parts to get the variational equations a piece of the first term combines with the third term to get the first variational equation in (1.1) while the remaining piece of the first term combines with the second term to yield the second variational. It is this mixing of λ scalings between terms that determines how varying the metric affects the variationa equations. Indeed, the following calculations are straightforward.

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{d^*}da &= g\left((d+g^{-1}dg)^*da\right) = \mathrm{Im}(\overline{\psi}\nabla_a\psi) \\ -\widehat{\Delta}_a\psi &= -g\Delta_a\psi = (\kappa^2|\psi|^2-1)\psi. \end{aligned}$$

Now if one makes the gauged scaling $\psi \to \sqrt{g}\psi$ one sees that varying h_0 by $h - h_0$ amounts to transforming the original variational equations to

$$\begin{aligned} (d+g^{-1}dg)^*da &= \mathrm{Im}(\overline{\psi}\nabla_{a+\frac{1}{2}g^{-1}dg}\psi) \\ -\Delta_{a+\frac{1}{2}g^{-1}dg}\psi &= (\kappa^2|\psi|^2-g^{-1})\psi. \end{aligned}$$

We note that this is effectively a gauge transformation; however, one which is real-valued and non-unitary. As a consequence the unit 1 in the nonlinear term of the final equations must replaced by g^{-1}. This is completely analogous to, and indeed is an extension of, the constant rescaling carried out in Appendix A.1. We may view this gauging as a smoothly varying pointwise dilation which is completely consistent with the geometric significance of λ in our description of surface metrics below.

B Bundle Holomorphisation

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4. Let E be a $U(1)$ bundle over $\mathbb{H}$ with an automorphy factor ρ and a unitary, $\rho-$equivariant connection $\nabla_A = \nabla + iA$.

The following result is a consequence of the Dolbeault lemma (see [15], Theorem 13.2):

Proposition B.1. *There exists a complex valued gauge transformation g that solves $g^{-1}\partial''_A g = \overline{\partial}$ and it is unique up to a holomorphic term. In particular*

$$\overline{\partial} g = ig\overline{A_c}. \tag{B.1}$$

g inherits an equivariance property from A.

Proof. Define $g = e^{\kappa}$, then $\overline{\partial}\kappa = i\overline{A_c}$. Passing from forms to functions and using the Dolbeault lemma (see [15], Theorem 13.2), we arrive at the statement of the propositions. □

Proposition B.2. *Viewing g as a multiplicative map from $\tilde{E}$ to a new bundle $\tilde{E}'$, the induced automorphy factors $\rho'(\gamma) := \gamma^*(g^{-1})\rho(\gamma)g$ on the new bundle are holomorphic.*

Proof. We start with two key components about how holomorphic functions compose with regular complex valued functions. If $w : U \to V$ is holomorphic in a neighbourhood, $x : \mathbb{R} \to U$ and $g : \mathbb{C} \to V$ are smooth then

$$\frac{dw \circ x}{dt} = \frac{dw}{dz}(x(t))\frac{dx}{dt}$$
$$\overline{\partial}(g \circ w) = (\overline{\partial} g)(w(z))\overline{\frac{dw}{dz}}$$

which can be checked by regarding maps on $\mathbb{C}$ as maps on $\mathbb{R}^2$. With these two formulas we can perform the computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\partial}(\gamma^*(g^{-1})\rho(\gamma)g) && \text{(B.2)}\\
=&\overline{\partial}(\gamma^*(g^{-1}))\rho(\gamma)g + \gamma^*(g^{-1})\overline{\partial}(\rho(\gamma))g + \gamma^*(g^{-1})\rho(\gamma)\overline{\partial} g && \text{(B.3)}\\
=&i\gamma^*(g^{-1})\rho(\gamma)g(\overline{A_c} + \overline{\partial} f_\gamma) + \overline{\partial}(1/z \circ g \circ \gamma)\rho(\gamma)f && \text{(B.4)}\\
=&i\gamma^*(g^{-1})\rho(\gamma)g(\overline{A_c} + \overline{\partial} f_\gamma) && \text{(B.5)}\\
&- 1/z^2 \circ g \circ \gamma \cdot \overline{\partial} g \circ \gamma \cdot \overline{\partial\gamma} && \text{(B.6)}\\
=&i\gamma^*(g^{-1})\rho(\gamma)g(\overline{A_c} + \overline{\partial} f_\gamma) - i\gamma^*(g^{-1})\rho(\gamma)g\gamma^*\overline{A_c} && \text{(B.7)}\\
=&i\gamma^*(g^{-1})\rho(\gamma)g(\overline{A_c} + \overline{\partial} f_\gamma - \gamma^*\overline{A_c}) && \text{(B.8)}\\
=&0. && \text{(B.9)}
\end{aligned}$$

The first equality is the Leibniz rule, the second equality follows from $(B.1)$, the third equality is an application of the two formulas and the last equality follows from the equivariance condition on A. □

Now we derive Theorem 1.4 from these propositions. Let $\tilde{E}$ be the uniformization of the unitary bundle E in Theorem 1.4 and let ∇_A be the lift of the connection, ∇_a, on that bundle. Hence $E = \tilde{E}/\rho$ for some automorphy factor ρ. Furthermore, let $\tilde{E}', \rho'$ and $\nabla_{A'}$ be the bundle, the autompprphy

factor and the connection constructed in Propositions B.1 and B.2 and let $E' = \tilde{E}'/\rho'$.

Since the function g in Proposition B.1 is equivariant, it descends to X as a section of a line bundle over X, which induces the map of E into E'. This map takes also the connection ∇_a on E into the connection $\nabla_{a'}$ on E'. By Propositions B.1 and B.2, $\nabla_{a'}$ comes from d on $\tilde{E}'$ via a holomorphic projection. Hence the connection $\nabla_{a'}$ is holomorphic. This proves Theorem 1.4. □

For connection (1.11) (with the standard metric on $\mathbb{H}$), we have an explicit form the transformation g, which we now denote $\tilde{g}$. Namely, we have

Proposition B.3. *Let $b := \frac{2\pi n}{|X|} = \frac{n}{2g-2}$. Then $\tilde{g} = y^b$ solves $\tilde{g}^{-1}\partial''_{A^n}\tilde{g} = \overline{\partial}$. $\tilde{g}$ transforms under $s = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ as $s^*\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}\tilde{\rho}$, where*

$$\tilde{\rho} : PSL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{R},\ \tilde{\rho}(s,z) := |cz+d|^{-2b}. \tag{B.10}$$

Proof. We omit the superindex n, let $\tilde{g} = e^{\alpha}$ and solve:

$$e^{-\alpha}\partial''_A e^{\alpha} = \overline{\partial} \Longleftrightarrow e^{-\alpha}(\overline{\partial} + i\bar{A}_c)e^{\alpha} = \overline{\partial} \Longleftrightarrow \overline{\partial}\alpha = i\bar{A}_c$$

Since $A_c = \frac{n}{2g-2}\frac{1}{2\,\mathrm{Im}(z)}dz$, it then follows that

$$\alpha = b\ln\left(\frac{z-\overline{z}}{2i}\right)$$

solves $\overline{\partial}\alpha = i\bar{A}_c$, which gives that $\tilde{g} = y^b$. We compute the automorphy of $\tilde{g}$ to find (B.10). □

Let F be the line bundle over $X = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$, defined by the automorphy map $\tilde{\rho}^{-1}$, where $\tilde{\rho}$ is given in (B.10), and, recall, that E is the line bundle over X, with the automorphy map ρ. Then $\tilde{g}^{-1}$ decends to a section, g, of F. It follows that $E \otimes F$ is a holomorphic line bundle and the equation $\partial''_{a^n}\phi = 0$ is equivalent to $g^{-1}\phi$ being a holomorphic section of $E \otimes F$. Hence, we have

Corollary B.4. *Let $b := \frac{2\pi n}{|X|} = \frac{n}{2g-2}$ and, as above, s be the section of the line bundle F coming from the equivariant function $\tilde{g} = y^b$ on $\mathbb{H}$. We have*

$$\mathrm{Null}\,\partial''_{a^n} = sH^0(X, E \otimes F), \tag{B.11}$$

where, as usual, $H^0(X,L)$ is the space of holomorphic sections on a bundle L.

C Weitzenböck-type formula

We prove Proposition 4.2 by passing to the universal cover, $\mathbb{H}$, and proving there an equivalent relation. We use the complex covariant derivatives as in the beginning of Section 7.

Proposition C.1.

$$\partial_A''^{*}\partial_A'' = \frac{1}{2}(-\Delta_A - *F_A). \tag{C.1}$$

Proof. To prove this we need $*d\overline{z} = *(dx_1 - idx_2) = dx_2 + idx_1 = id\overline{z}$ and $dz \wedge d\overline{z} = -2idx_1 \wedge dx_2$. Furthermore we compute the adjoint of ∂_A'' to be $\partial_A''^{*} = *(-\partial_z \otimes dz - \frac{1}{2}(A_2 + iA_1) \otimes dz)*$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_A''^{*}\partial_A'' &= \frac{i}{4} * (-2\partial_z \otimes dz - (A_2 + iA_1) \otimes dz)(2\partial_{\overline{z}} \otimes d\overline{z} - (A_2 - iA_1) \otimes d\overline{z}) \\
&= \frac{i}{4} * (-4\partial_z\partial_{\overline{z}} + A_1^2 + A_2^2 - 2(A_2 + iA_2)\partial_{\overline{z}} + 2\partial_z(A_2 - iA_1))dz \wedge d\overline{z} \\
&= \frac{i}{4} * (-\Delta + A_1^2 + A_2^2 - \frac{d}{dx_2}A_1 + \frac{d}{dx_1}A_2 - iA \cdot \nabla - i\nabla \cdot A))dz \wedge d\overline{z} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\lambda}(-\Delta + A_1^2 + A_2^2 - \frac{d}{dx_2}A_1 + \frac{d}{dx_1}A_2 - iA \cdot \nabla - i\nabla \cdot A),
\end{aligned}$$

which gives (C.1). □

Corollary C.2. *Let A^n be a connection of the constant curvature, i.e. $*F_{A^n} = b := \frac{2\pi n}{|X|} = \frac{n}{2g-2}$. Then*

$$\operatorname{Null}(-\Delta_{a^n} - b) = \operatorname{Null}\partial_{a^n}'' \tag{C.2}$$

Hence, b is an eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{A^n}$ iff $\operatorname{Null}\partial_{A^n}'' \neq \{0\}$ and if b is an eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{A^n}$, then it is the smallest eigenvalue.

D Admissible connections

The purpose of this appendix is to outline relevant parts of the theory of holomorphic line bundles over Riemann surfaces - specifically, the existence of holomorphic sections of such bundles - to help the motivated reader, with the background elsewhere, to navigate the main body of this paper.

First, recall that holomorphic structures on E_n are typically specified in terms of transition functions for E_n that are holomorphic with respect to the complex structure on X.

For our purpose it is natural to use another description of holomorphic bundles that is phrased directly in terms of objects we use, namely, derivations (connections), ∂_E'', of type $(0,1)$ on E; i.e., operators satisfying

$$\partial_E'' : \mathcal{E}(X,E) \to \mathcal{E}^{(0,1)}(X,E) \tag{D.1}$$

$$\partial_E''(f\xi) = \bar{\partial}f \cdot \xi + f\partial_E''\xi \tag{D.2}$$

where $\mathcal{E}(X,E)$ and $\mathcal{E}^{(0,1)}(X,E)$ are the spaces of sections and $(0,1)-$ forms on X with values in E, respectively, f is a function on X and ξ is a section of E. Two derivations are equivalent if and only if they are conjugate to one another under a complex-valued gauge transformation.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{cc}$ denote the space of constant curvature unitary (with respect to k) connections on E and let $\mathcal{G}$ denote the group of gauge transformations which preserve k.

Theorem D.1. *(i) The space of holomorphic structures on E is in a 1:1 correspondence with the space, $\mathcal{C}$, of derivations, ∂''_E of type $(0,1)$ on E. This correspondence descends to the corresponding gauge-equivalence classes.*

(ii) Let ∂''_a denote the type $(0,1)$-component of ∇_a and $\mathcal{G}^c$ denote the group of complex-valued gauge transformations. Then

$$\mathcal{A}_{cc}/\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{G}^c, \tag{D.3}$$

$$[\nabla_a] \to \left[\partial''_a\right] \tag{D.4}$$

where the brackets denote the corresponding gauge equivalence class in each case.

For the proof of the first statement, see [26], Propositions 1.3.5, 1.3.7 and 1.4.17. We comment on it in Remark D.2. The second one is a special case of a more general result for vector bundles due to Narasimhan and Seshadri that can be found in [11] and Appendix by O. García-Prada in [35] (see Theorem 2.7).

Thus equivalent derivations correspond to equivalent holomorphic structures. Given this we will henceforth refer to $\mathcal{C}$ as the space of *holomorphic structures* on E.

Remark D.2. Given a holomorphic structure on a line bundle E, in the sense of holomorphic transition functions on the bundle, and a hermitian metric, k' on E there is a canonical connection, $D_{k'}$, on E which is compatible with the metric k' and whose $(0,1)$-component annihilates holomorphic sections. (For details we refer the reader to Theorem III.2.1 of [35]). This gives the forward direction of Theorem D.1(i).

Next, we give some key definitions. $Pic^{(n)}(X)$ denotes the moduli space (i.e. the space of complex gauge equivalence classes) of holomorphic line bundles of fixed degree n. For each n, $Pic^{(n)}(X)$ is isomorphic to $Jac(X)$. This isomorphism is effectively determined by the Abel-Jacobi map (see (1.5)).

As we mentioned above, we are interested in existence of holomorphic sections of holomorphic line bundles. Though every holomorphic bundle has a meromorphic section, not every such bundle has a holomorphic one. Let $\Sigma^{(n)} \subset Pic^{(n)}(X)$ denote the subset of degree n holomorphic line bundles which have a holomorphic section.

Recall that the divisor, D, on a Riemann surface X is a finite collection of points, $P_i \in X$, with associated integers, n_i, written as $D := \sum n_i P_i$. The number $\deg(D) := \sum n_i$ is called the degree of D.

With every meromorphic section, φ, one can associate the divisor, denoted as (φ), which is the collection of its zeros and poles, together with their positive and negative orders (multiplicities), respectively. Different sections of a holomorphic line bundle have linearly equivalent divisors: Two divisors are said to be linearly equivalent if their difference is a divisor of a meromorphic function on X. In this way with each line bundle we associate a collection of linearly equivalent divisors. Conversely, a divisor uniquely determines an equivalence class of holomorphic line bundles; i.e., a point in $Pic(X)$. ([18]).

It is a consequence of the definition of the degree of a line bundle in terms of zeros of its sections that the degree of the divisor is equal to the degree of the bundle.

By this description, the divisor of a holomorphic section has only positive integer coefficients (the value of the coefficient corresponds to the multipicity of the divisor at that point). Such divisors are said to be *effective*. An effective divisor corresponds to a unique point in the n-fold symmetric product, $X^{(n)}$, which parametrizes unordered n-tuples of points on X. (It is straightforward to check that $X^{(n)}$ is a smooth manifold [18].)

Let $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(n)}$ denote the set of pairs (E, D) where E is a holomorphic line bundle of degree n, which has a holomorphic section, and D is the divisor of a holomorphic section of E. Then projection onto the second factor, $(E, D) \to D$, defines a 1:1 map

$$\pi_2 : \tilde{\Sigma}^{(n)} \to X^{(n)}, \tag{D.5}$$

which is in fact onto, since one can construct a bundle and its holomorphic section directly from an effective divisor [18]. One then makes use of a special case of *Abel's theorem*:

Theorem D.3. *[18] Two effective divisors are the zeroes of two holomorphic sections of the same bundle if and only if they are mapped to the same point in*

$$W_n := \Phi(X^{(n)}) \subset Jac(X), \tag{D.6}$$

Moreover, if $D, D' \in \Phi^{-1}(z)$ for $z \in W_n$, then D and D' are linearly equivalent, i.e. there exists a meromorphic function, f on X such that $(f) = D - D'$.

It also follows from the first statement in Abel's theorem that the admissible bundles, i.e. the bundles with a dimension one space of holomorphic sections, of degree n correspond to those points $z \in W_n$ for which $\Phi^{-1}(z)$ is a unique point in $X^{(n)}$.

We can now more properly define the map I introduced in Theorem 1.1. This is given by

$$I := \mathcal{I} \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi_2^{-1},$$

where $\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{G}^c \to \mathcal{A}_{cc}/\mathcal{G}$ is the reverse direction of the Narasimahn-Seshadri isomorphism (D.4), $\pi_1 : \tilde{\Sigma}^{(n)} \to Pic^{(n)}(X)$ is given by projection onto the first factor $(E, D) \to E$ in $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(n)}$ and π_2 is given in (D.5). (In defining the composition with $\mathcal{I}$ here we are using the isomorphism, $Pic^{(n)}(X) \simeq \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{G}^c$, which is due to Theorem D.1 (i).

In fact, one can say a bit more here: under I the admissible connections in $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma^{(n)})$ correspond to the regular values in W_n of Φ (see [14], III.11.11). By Sard's theorem, this is an open dense submanifold of W_n.

Equivalently, by the implicit function theorem, these are the smooth points in the variety W_n. So we denote this set by $W_{n,\text{smooth}}$. Thus $S^{(n)}$, introduced in Theorem 1.1, can now be defined as $S^{(n)} := \Phi^{-1}(W_{n,\text{smooth}})$ which must also be an open dense submanifold of $X^{(n)}$.

Explicit form of $W_{n,\text{smooth}}$. For certain degrees one can give explicit equations that determine $W_{n,\text{smooth}}$. These are expressed in terms of Riemann's theta function,

$$\theta(\vec{z}, \tau) \quad = \quad \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp 2\pi i \left(\frac{1}{2} {}^t N \tau N + {}^t N \vec{z} \right) \tag{D.7}$$

where τ is a $g \times g$ matrix with entries $\tau_{ij} = \int_{b_j} \zeta_i$ where ζ_j are normalized holomorphic differentials and $\vec{z} \in \mathbb{C}^g$.

Theorem D.4. *There is a unique constant vector $-\kappa$, known as Riemann's constant, [14] VI.3.6, depending on P_0 such that*

(i) For $n = g$,

$$W_{g,smooth} = Jac(X) \backslash (\{\vec{z} \in Jac(X) : \theta(\vec{z}, \tau) = 0\} - \kappa).$$

(ii) For $n = g - 1$,

$$W_{g-1,smooth} = W_{g-1} \backslash (\{\vec{z} \in Jac(X) : \theta(\vec{z}, \tau) = 0,\ \theta_{z_i}(\vec{z}, \tau) = 0 \forall i\} - \kappa).$$

(iii) for $n = 1, W_{1,smooth} = W_1$; i.e., W_1 is entirely smooth.

The first two statements are a direct consequence of Riemann's vanishing theorem, [14], VI.3.7. We give a self-contained proof here of the last statement, which will also serve to illustrate the general result.

For all points on W_1 to be regular means that Φ restricted to just X is an embedding; i.e., that Φ is both 1:1 and an immersion on X. Φ on X can

only fail to be 1:1 if the there are two distinct points, p_1 and p_2, that map to the same point $z \in W_1$. If that were the case, by the second statement of Theorem D.3, there must exist a meromorphic function, f on X with a single zero at p_1 and a single pole at p_2.

Any meromorphic function, f, may be viewed as a non-constant holomorphic map from X to the Riemann sphere S in which the poles of f map to the point at infinity on S. By the open mapping theorem this map must be onto S. A submersive mapping between two compact manifolds of the same dimension has a well-defined finite degree equal to the number of points, counted with multiplicity, in the inverse image, $f^{-1}(a)$, independent of whatever point a one chooses. But for $a = 0$ we already know that $f^{-1}(0) = \{p_1\}$ and so the degree of f is 1. In other words f is a 1:1 holomorphic map of X onto S. But a 1:1 holomorphic map is a homeomorphism, implying that the genus of X equals the genus of S which is zero. But this contradicts our assumption that $g(X) > 0$.

So Φ must be 1:1. To see that it is also an immersion, observe that, by the fundamental theorem of calculus the differential of Φ is the vector of holomorphic differentials $\vec{\zeta}$. So this fails to be an immersion if and only if there exists a point $p \in X$ at which *every* holomorphic differential vanishes. Suppose there was such a point and let $\mathcal{L}_p$ be the line bundle associated to it [21]. Recall that, by duality, $H^1(X, \mathcal{L}_p)$ is isomorphic to the space of holomorphic differentials vanishing at p which, by our assumption, has dimension g. So by the Riemann-Roch theorem one has $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_p) = 1-g+1+g = 2$. But then again, by the argument in the previous paragraph, this would imply that X has genus 0. Hence Φ is also an immersion on X and therefore all points in W_1 are regular. □

E Explicit representation of Null ∂''_{a_c}

We briefly recall the description of multivalued functions, f, on X that are multiplicative with respect to the lattice Λ generated by the periods of $\vec{\zeta}$ as described in Section 1. (This lattice is isomorphic to the first homology group $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$.) More precisely, multiplicativity means that, for elements $\gamma \in \Lambda$,

$$f(P + \gamma) = \chi(\gamma) f(P) \quad \chi(\gamma) \in \mathbb{C}^*, \tag{E.1}$$

$$\chi(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) = \chi(\gamma_1) \cdot \chi(\gamma_2) \tag{E.2}$$

Maps, χ, from Λ to $\mathbb{C}^*$ satisfying the multiplicativity property (E.2) are called characters of Λ. If f is a function for which (E.1, E.2) are both satisfied, then one says that χ is the character of the multi-valued function f.

One says that a character is *normalized* if it takes its values in $U(1)$ and that it is *inessential* if it is the character of a non-vanishing, holomorphic multi-valued function.

Now we fix, once and for all,

1. a point $Q_0 \in X$;
2. the associated one-point line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{Q_0}$ (see Theorem D.4 (iii));
3. a holomorphic section, $s_0(P)$, of $\mathcal{L}_{Q_0}$, unique up to an overall constant.

We also make use of the following constructive result:

Theorem E.1 ([14] III.9.10)**.** *Every divisor D of degree zero is the divisor of a unique (up to a multiplicative constant) multiplicative multivalued function belonging to a unique normalized character.*

This leads to yet another description of line bundles associated to divisors of degree zero, [14] III.9.16:

$$\begin{aligned} Jac(X) &\simeq \{\text{characters on } \Lambda\}/\{\text{inessential characters}\} \\ &\simeq \{\text{unitary characters on on } \Lambda\} \end{aligned} \tag{E.3}$$

which is given by association of the Abel image $\Phi(D) \in Jac(X)$ to the unique normalized character χ specified in the theorem.

For our case, the associated multiplicative function that the theorem specifies is explicitly constructed as follows: consider $D = P_1 + \cdots + P_n - nQ_0$ where $P_1 + \cdots + P_n$ is an effective divisor corresponding to an admissible connection under I. Then the multiplicative function belonging to character χ, associated to D, is explicitly given by

$$f(P) = \exp \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{P_0}^{P} \tau_{P_j,Q_0} \tag{E.4}$$

where $\tau_{P,Q}$ is the normalized differential which is holomorphic except for two simple pole of residues -1 and +1 at P and Q, respectively. By normalized here one means that $\int_{a_j} \tau_{P,Q} = 0$, where the a_j belong to the canonical homology basis chosen in (1.5). (Such differentials are called differentials of the third kind and are unique once P and Q are specified.) This is a direct consequence of the Riemann-Roch Theorem, as we will now sketch.

The differential $\tau_{P,Q}$ is a section of the bundle $L = K \otimes \mathcal{L}_P \otimes \mathcal{L}_Q$ where K is the canonical bundle (see Proposition F.1). This bundle has degree $2g$ and, by duality $\dim H^1(X, L) = 0$. Hence by the Riemann-Roch Theorem we know that $\dim H^0(X, L) = 2g - g + 1 = g + 1$. Normalization of the differential imposes g independent conditions and so the normalized differentials of this type are unique up to a constant multiple. But since the residues at P and Q must be -1 and $+1$ respectively. This pins down the constant

multiple uniquely. Note that, by the classical residue theorem [1], the sum of the two residues must be zero a priori.

The holomorphic section, unique up to an overall constant multiple, of the holomorphic bundle associated to this divisor $P_1 + \cdots + P_n$ is then explicitly represented as

$$\hat{\phi}(P) \quad = \quad f(P)s_0^n(P). \tag{E.5}$$

where $s_0^n(P)$ is the n-fold product of the section $s_0(P)$, fixed above, with itself. Let a^c correspond to the divisor $P_1 + \cdots + P_n$ under the map I. By Theorem 1.4, there is a gauge transformation g that conjugates ∂''_{a^c} to the $\bar{\partial}-$operator on the holomorphic bundle associated to $P_1+\cdots+P_n$. It follows that the element of the kernel of ∂''_{a^c} that corresponds to $\hat{\phi}$ is given by

$$\phi(P) \quad = \quad g(P)f(P)s_0^n(P). \tag{E.6}$$

This representation has the form of a *Baker-Akhiezer* section [27].

Remark E.2. i) The transformation from (E.5) to (E.6) can be viewed as corresponding to a change of bundle inner product. Indeed, the isomorphism (D.3) is mediated by gauge equivalences in the symmetric space $\mathcal{G}^c/\mathcal{G}$. This gauged space corresponds to changes of hermitian inner product on the bundle. Indeed constructions on each side of the isomorphism are made by fixing a bundle inner product.

ii) When $n = g$, the Baker-Akhiezer section (E.6) may be re-expressed in terms of Riemann's theta function (D.7) as

$$\phi(P) \quad = \quad g(P)\theta\left(\Phi(P) - \Phi(D) - \kappa\right).$$

iii) It is interesting to inquire how the character of the explidit representation (E.6) is related to the automorphy of the uniformized connections we considered in Section 7. Because $\tau_{P,Q}$ is normalized, the corresponding character, χ, of f is unitary and corresponds precisely to σ in (1.10) and Q corresponds to the base point z in $\rho_{n,\sigma}$. The holomorphic structure on E_n and corresponding constant curvature connection under (D.3) are determined by $s_0^n(P)$. In this way all degrees of freedom are accounted for.

F The bundle $E \otimes F$

Proposition F.1. *Let E and F the bundles have the automorphies* (1.9) *and* (B.10). *The holomorphic line bundle $E \otimes F$ is isomorphic to $K^{\otimes b}$, where K is the holomorphic cotangent bundle of the Riemann surface X (the canonical bundle) and $b := \frac{n}{2g-2}$. Moreover, $E \otimes F$ has degree n.*

Proof. Since the bundles E and F have the automorphies ρ and $\tilde{\rho}^{-1}$ (see (1.9) and (B.10)), respectively, the bundle $E \otimes F$ has the automorphy

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(s,z)\tilde{\rho}(s,z)^{-1} &= \left[\frac{c\overline{z}+d}{cz+d}\right]^{-b} |cz+d|^{2b} \\ &= (cz+d)^{2b},\end{aligned}$$

where again $b := \frac{2\pi n}{|X|} = \frac{n}{2g-2}$. So $E \otimes F$ is a holomorphic line bundle with automorphy factor $(cz+d)^{2b}$. Since the holomorphic cotangent bundle, $K \cong T^*_X$, of the Riemann surface X has the automorphy factor $(cz+d)^2$, we conclude that $E \otimes F \cong K^b$.

Since $(E \otimes F)^{\otimes(2g-2)} = K^n$, K has degree $2g-2$, and the degree in a tensor product is additive, we have that $(2g-2)\deg(E \otimes F) = \deg K^n = n(2g-2)$. Hence the bundle $E \otimes F$ has degree n. □

Proposition F.1 implies, in particular that the line bundle F has degree 0.

References

[1] L. V. Ahlfors, *Complex analysis*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1979.

[2] Atiyah, M. F. and R. Bott, The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces. Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 308 (1983), no. 1505, 523–615.

[3] M. F. Atiyah, "Riemann surfaces and spin structures", *Annales scientifiques de l'É.N.S.*, 4^e série, tome 4, n^o 1 (1971), pp.47–62.

[4] J.M. Baptista, On the L2-metric of vortex moduli spaces, Nuclear Phys. B 844 (2011) 308–333.

[5] J.-B. Bost, Introduction to Compact Riemann Surfaces, Jacobians, and Abelian Varieties, in "From Number Theory to Physics", M. Waldschmidt et al, eds, Springer 1995.

[6] S. B. Bradlow, Vortices in holomorphic line bundles over closed Khler manifolds. Comm. Math. Phys. 135 (1990), no. 1, 1–17.

[7] S. B. Bradlow, Special metrics and stability for holomorphic bundles with global sections. J. Differential Geom. 33 (1991), no. 1, 169–213.

[8] S. B. Bradlow and G. D. Daskalopoulos, Moduli of stable pairs for holomorphic bundles over Riemann surfaces. Internat. J. Math. 2 (1991), no. 5, 477–513.

[9] S. B. Bradlow, Nonabelian vortices and a new Yang-Mills-Higgs energy. Differential geometry: geometry in mathematical physics and related topics (Los Angeles, CA, 1990), 85–98, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 54, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

[10] Li Chen, I.M. Sigal, and P. Smyrnelis, On Abrikosov Lattice Solutions of the Ginzburg-Landau Equations, e-print, arXiv, 2016.

[11] S. K. Donaldson, *A New Proof of a Theorem of Narasimhan and Seshadri*, 1982., J. Diff. Geom. 18 (1983) 269–277.

[12] S. K. Donaldson, *Riemann Surfaces*, Oxford University Press, 2011.

[13] S. K. Donaldson and P. B. Kronheimer, *Geometry of Four-Manifolds*, Oxford University Press, 1990.

[14] H. M. Farkas, I. Kra, Riemann surfaces. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.

[15] O. Forster. *Lectures on Riemann surfaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol. 81, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[16] W. Fulton, *Algebraic Topology: A First Course*. Springer, 1995.

[17] O. García-Prada, A direct existence proof for the vortex equations over a compact Riemann surface. Bull. London Math. Soc. 26 (1994), no. 1, 88–96.

[18] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, Principles of Algebraic Geometry, Wiley, 1994.

[19] R. C. Gunning, The structure of factors of automorphy, American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 78, No. 2 (Apr., 1956), pp. 357–382.

[20] R. C. Gunning, *Riemann Surfaces and Generalized Theta Functions*, Springer, 1976.

[21] R. C. Gunning, Lectures on Riemann Surfaces, Princeton University Press, 1967.

[22] R.C. Gunning, *Lectures on Modular Forms*, Princeton University Press, 1962.

[23] D. Huybrechts, Complex Geometry, Springer, 2005.

[24] A. Jaffe and C.Taubes, Vortices and Monopoles, Birkhäusen, 1981.

[25] J. Jost, Compact Riemann Surfaces, Third Edition, 2006.

[26] S. Kobayshi, *Differential Geometry of Complex Vector Bundles*, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1987.

[27] I.M. Krichever, Methods of Algebraic Geometry in the Theory of Non-linear Equations, Russian Math Surveys, 32 (1977), 185-213.

[28] N.S. Manton and N. A. Rink, Vortices on hyperbolic surfaces. J. Phys. A 43 (2010), no. 43, 434024

[29] N.S. Manton and P. Sutcliffe, *Topological solitons*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[30] A. Nagy, Irreducible Ginzburg–Landau fields in dimension 2, arXiv:1607.00232v2.

[31] I. M. Sigal, Magnetic vortices, Abrikosov lattices and automorphic functions, in Mathematical and Computational Modelling (With Applications in Natural and Social Sciences, Engineering and the Arts), Wiley, (2015), arXiv:1308.5440.

[32] C. Tejero Prieto, Holomorphic spectral geometry of magnetic Schrödinger operators on Riemann surfaces, Diff Geom and its Applications 24 (2006) 288 -310.

[33] C. Teleman, *Riemann Surfaces*, https://math.berkeley.edu/ teleman/math/Riemann.pdf

[34] T. Tzaneteas and I. M. Sigal, Abrikosov lattice solutions of the Ginzburg-Landau equations. *Contemporary Mathematics* **535**, 195 – 213, 2011.

[35] R. O. Wells, Jr., *Differential Analysis on Complex Manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 65, Third Edition, Springer, 2000.